

Y2348/1

From: LBirchfeld@aol.com
To: V9600014@valleynet.bc.ca
Subject: Fwd: Hi There!

Good Morning, Peter:

Here's more information on the Coleman family from Sherry. As you can see, we're still working on it but there seems to be a light at the end of the tunnel. Sherry's friend, Earle, has written a lot of information on the Coleman family that he has sent to the Coleman Newsletter for publication.

He is a descendant of Daniel and also the Payne family that includes Josiah Payne. He has now taken up our cause and is helping Sherry try to figure out where our Grizel fits.

I hope you received the maps and Indian lands lease okay. It puts the Colemans and Whitlocks together earlier than the birth of our Grizel.

Regards, ? Help M Main Menu P PrevMsg - PrevPage D Delete R Reply
JHER CMDS V ViewAttch N NextMsg Spc NextPage U Undelete F Forward42%Best Regards,
Louise

Forwarded message:
From: ndcfl@gte.net (ndcfl)

x2348/2

To: LBirchfELD@aol.com

Date: 97-05-18 19:12:06 EDT

Hi there yourself!

I always enjoy getting e-mail from you. It makes my day. Do you have one
 ose big umpteen-generation charts? I think I got mine from Everton
 Publishers. But with you having several 12 & 14 generations, you need one.
 I'm more convinced than ever that your Grizzell belongs to Daniel, mainly
 63%I'm more convinced than ever that your Grizzell belongs to Daniel, mainly
 because of the King William connection. Daniel Coleman (2nd generation)
 was in New Kent Co. by 1688 because he was named in a deed of someone else
 "adj. to Daniel C*'s Plantation". In 1691, New Kent Co. was divided, and a
 good half of it appears to have become King & Queen. Daniel was on the
 1704 K&Q Rent Rolls with 470 acres. It was in 1705 that he & Samuel
 Williams got those 600 acres of Indian Lease Land, in King William Co. I
 don't think he ever lived in King William.

In 1714, a Daniel Coleman & John Madison Jr. were granted 2000 acres in the
 fork of the Mattaponi River, in both K&Q and King William Counties.
 Without birth dates, I can't tell if this Daniel Coleman is the "Sr.", or
 if it's his son Daniel "Jr.". Earle suggested it was Jr. because of John
 Madison "Jr.", and I tend to agree, except that you never know about the
 Sr.'s & Jr.'s. I don't have any information on the Madison family, other
 than a James Coleman from the Robert line was godfather to Ambrose Madison,
 brother of the President. I've been working on what seems like hundreds of
 Daniels all weekend. It's so confusing! When you go to the library this
 83%brother of the President. I've been working on what seems like hundreds of
 Daniels all weekend. It's so confusing! When you go to the library this
 week, and if you get all your stuff done, maybe you could see if there is a
 family history on the Madisons, and could find out some dates on John Sr. &
 John Jr.

times I wonder if I'll ever get done. I was working on the
 .roduction, explaining the discovery of Daniel's two newest children,
 Thomas & your Grizzell, but I can't really do it if I'm not certain about
 which Daniel was in K&Q a certain year & which one in Kg. Wm. It doesn't
 matter. John Coleman didn't have a King William connection, other than
 Hanover being a border county, but I'm convinced it was Daniel. In the
 lawsuit in Caroline Co., besides mention of Thomas Coleman who inherited
 land from his father Daniel, there was also mention of "the land of John
 Coleman's children". I agree with Earle that John was a brother to Thomas,
 and therefore a brother to your Grizzell. It appears that John was
 deceased by 1729, but his land was next to that of Thomas, and it's obvious
 that Daniel left them part of the K&Q Co. land that became Caroline.
 Daniel died in 1722.
 ENDthat Daniel left them part of the K&Q Co. land that became Caroline.
 Daniel died in 1722.

Did I ever send you the Introduction? I think I was waiting to determine
 Grizzell's parentage. I'll try to get it finished and mailed to you before
 you go to Georgia. The Introduction is constantly undergoing revision,
 mainly because we keep finding all these wonderful new Colemans! I love
 it.

Have to go. Susie just got here with the baby, so I have to play Grandma
 for awhile. If I confused you about the Daniel's, it's my fault because I
 got sidetracked with the baby here. Let me know & I'll try to explain it
 better.

Bye for now, Rebel cuz,

try

] of message text] PINE 3.91 MESSAGE TEXT

Folder: INBOX Message 19 of 24 46%

Date: Mon, 19 May 1997 14:42:13 -

From: LBirchfELD@aol.com

To: ndcfl@mail.gte.net

Cc: V9600014@valleynet.bc.ca

Subject: Let Me Try It Again

X2348/3

Hi Sherry:

I just received your Daniel and John charts. I realize that if Grizel is descended from Daniel it is Daniel the first. Right? I had in my mind that he was too old to be her father but, after looking at your chart, Daniel, Jr. is too young. Besides, Daniel, Jr. had a daughter Grizzell who married William Edwards, not our Mr. Whitlock.

>From what little I know, I agree that Grizel is more likely the daughter of Daniel rather than John although my reasons are different than yours (because I know so much less about the family than you do).

I can't get the fact that John Daniel Coleman was a witness to Grizel's will? Help M Main Menu P PrevMsg - PrevPage D De
O OTHER CMDS V ViewAttch N NextMsg Spc NextPage U Undelete F Forward87%I can't get the fact that John Daniel Coleman was a wi
and he is descended from Daniel. I looked through the 1790 SC census and found that there is a Nathan Glenn in Union County with 5 white males 16 and older, 2 females and 28 slaves and, thanks to your chart, I know that Lucy Coleman, daughter of Daniel, Jr., married Nathan Glenn. There is also a William Edwards with 1 white male over 16, 1 white male under 16, and 3 females. This name is a little more common, so maybe he's not the William Edwards who married Grizzell, daughter of Daniel, Jr. I need to see if I can find the wills of the Nathan Glenn and William Edwards of Union County and see if their wives names match. There is a Union County will book in the State Library.

Anyway, this is where I am at this very moment in my thinking about the Coleman/Whitlock connection. I will send Peter a copy of your charts.

Thanks a lot for the time and effort you spent. It does make it a lot easier to look at the two families as a whole.

Bye, I'll talk to you again later.

ENDBye, I'll talk to you again later.

Louise

al