

MESSAGE TEXT Folder: INBOX Message 374 of 377 26% NEW
Date: Fri, 29 Jan 1999 14:24:58 -0500
From: Charles Rockett <Chukrock@compuserve.com>
To: Peter Mark Whitlock <whitlock@valleynet.bc.ca>
Subject: One tiny Whitlock item
Date: 29-Jan-99 at 08:44
From: INTERNET:JPLovelaceol.com, INTERNET:JPLovelaceol.com
TO: chukrock

X2859

Sender: JPLovelace@aol.com

Hello, Chuck --

A friend sent me a copy of your posting re: a Whitlock newsletter because I'm considering one on Pratt families. (My link is below to give you a grasp of what I'm doing which sounds similar to your project.)

My other-surname index contains only one Whitlock entry, and it's hardly worth mentioning. However, I know that in the process of acquiring monumental amounts of data on a one-surname basis, sometimes any clue at all fills a blank spot eventually. I have this from the family records of a long-time correspondent who has now gone blind and is not researching:

HARRY WALLACE PRATT b. 7 Nov 1859, Bunker Hill, Missouri, son of Ezekiel b.1816 and Harriet (HEQUEMBOURG). M. 1st 8 Apr 1890 Nannie SMITH who d. 9 Aug

1890. He m. 2nd, 5 Oct 1901, Hattie Effie WHITLOCK and d. 18 July 1929, Blackwell (Kay) Ok. Three children by 2nd wife:

Gladys Lenora, 31 July 1902, Newkirk (Kirk) Ok.

Harriet Katherine, 27 Jan 1905

George Harold, 23 Aug 1907

(My correspondent is the widow of the son George Harold Pratt.)

Congratulations on your decision to take on the Whitlock project. If this sort of thing were done more often, it would not only make research easier but it would -- by comparing sources -- reveal the countless errors in print.

Best wishes,

Jayne Pratt-Lovelace

JaynePrattHomePage

Peter- I told her you do all the work. I'm just along for the ride.

Hope your new job is going well. Spring is just around the corner.

Chuck

[END of message]

W35

/WJ272